

Arab Academy for Science Technology & Maritime Transport

The International Maritime Transport and Logistics Conference **"Marlog 11"**

Investigating maritime accidents using hierarchical clustering

Serra Patrizia, Fancello Gianfranco, Mandas Marco, Daga Mariangela, Medda Andrea

Speaker: Patrizia Serra University of Cagliari, Italy Towards a **BLUE** SUSTAINABLE **BLUE** ECONOMY

Years Of Excellence

20 - 22 March, 2022 Hilton Green Plaza Hotel



This research was developed in the framework of the OMD project

"Marlog 11"

OMD – Observatoire des Marchandises Dangereuses

Management of risks in the port environment and improvement of maritime safety



CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

The international shipping industry

- 90% of world trade
- 60,000 merchant ships

"Marlog 11"

- > 1M seafarers
- Highly dynamic and high-risk environment characterized by a high rate of accidents and maritime disasters
- When the cargo involves dangerous goods, maritime transport becomes even more complex and delicate

UNDERSTANDING THE MARITIME ACCIDENTS PHENOMENON IS EXPEDIENT TO GIVING SHIPPING PRACTITIONERS A FOCUS FOR TAILORED INTERVENTIONS AIMED AT ENHANCING MARITIME SAFETY

CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

- The marine accidents can be caused by a **variety of factors**:
 - related to the ship
 - related to the equipment
 - linked to environmental issues
 - navigational factors
 - operational factors
 - related to the traffic
 - related to human factors (recognized as the main cause contributing to 75%-96% of marine accidents [Galieriková, 2019]

"Marlog 11"

OFTEN, ACCIDENTS ARE CAUSED BY A COMBINATION OF FACTORS [Fadda et al., 2021]

RELATED LITERATURE

 The attention of the scientific literature towards the topic is significant and growing

- The focus of the research has shifted from naval architecture to human error [Luo and Shin's, 2019]
- Several studies investigated the role of human errors in marine accidents and provided recommendations to decrease the risk associated to specific causes [Antão and Guedes Soares, 2019]
- Research in maritime safety and accident domain deal with underreporting of maritime accidents [Hassel et al., 2011] and fractioned data collection [Luo and Shin, 2016] that may have prevented a larger application of quantitative methods for investigating the role of human factors in maritime accidents

"Marlog 11"

GOALS OF THE STUDY

Application of **hierarchical clustering methods** to an **IMO dataset** including 1,079 sea accidents occurred worldwide between 2009 and 2019, in order to:

- identify the main factors contributing to accidents at sea and compare them by homogeneous groups
- investigate the role of human factors on maritime accidents

The International Maritime Transport and Logistics Conference

"Marlog 11"

DATA

- Source: IMO Marine Casualties and Incidents Database
- Period: 2009-2019



*THEY INCLUDE: VERY SERIOUS, SERIOUS AND LESS SERIOUS MARINE CASUALTIES (NOT MARINE INCIDENTS)

WE CONSIDER ONLY THE ACCIDENTS THAT REPORT COMPLETE INFORMATION: 1,079 ACCIDENTS

DATA

EACH ACCIDENT IS CHARACTERIZED THROUGH **70 VARIABLES**:

17 variables relate to the vessel

- o IMO number
- o Flag
- o Length
- **Tonnage**
- Type of ship
- Type of service
- o ...

53 variables relate to the accident

"Marlog 11"

- Date and Time
- Position
- Crew on board
- Initial event
- 0 ...

The analysis was carried out on a subset of the 70 variables (criteria: suitability of the variable for the purpose of the analysis, % of completeness, etc.)

DATA

- 17 variables selected:
 - 1. Ship type
 - 2. Type of casualty
 - 3. Loss of life
 - 4. Location of the casualty
 - 5. Consequences to the ship
 - 6. Pilot on board
 - 7. Human errors
 - 8. Human violations
 - 9. Technical failure

- 10. Problem with ship's cargo
- 11. Adverse weather conditions
- 12. Navigational tools problem
- 13. Communication
- 14. Standards of personal competence or lack of training

- 15. Fatigue, stress, or excessive workload
- 16. Hardware issues
- 17. Software issues

METHODOLOGY

Used Method: Hierarchical clustering

 Scope: grouping the incidents in such a way that those belonging to the same cluster have similar characteristics to each other and different from those of the other clusters

 It creates a hierarchical decomposition of the data represented by the dendrogram which is cut at a certain height to define the number of clusters of interest





APPLICATION

HIERARCHICAL CLUSTERING

STEP 1: WHOLE DATASET

1,079 accidents

STEP 2: DANGEROUS GOODS DATASET

"Marlog 11"

153 accidents

"Marlog 11"

RESULTS – WHOLE DATASET



Hierarchical tree

Figure 1: Dendogram – whole dataset (1,079 accidents)

16 CLUSTERS

Two main groups

- 1. accidents due to technical causes
- 2. accidents where the human factor plays a predominant role

The probability of human errors and/or violations causing an incident increases when problems related to "Software issues" (problems with protocols, standards, and company policy) and "Personnel factors" (inadequate staff training, excessive workloads and communication problems) are reported.

No.	Size	Type of casualty	Ship type	Location	Loss of life	Consequences to the ship	Pilot on Board	Hardware factors	Software factors	Personnel factors	Human error	Human violations	Other causes
Overall	1151	Capsizing (5%), Collision (34%), Damage to the ship (5%), Fire (15%), Flooding (1%), Grounding (14%), Machinery Failure (6%), Work Accident (20%)	Cargo (57%), Fishing (12%), Passenger (10%), Special Craft (8%), Tanker (13%)	Coastal waters (25%), Inland waters (8%), Open Sea (27%), Port (40%)	Yes (32%) No (68%)	Ship remains fit to proceed (49%) Ship rendered unfit to proceed (28%) Total loss of the ship (23%) CMPOSITION	Yes (19%) № (81%) 1 OF TH	Yes (19%) No (81%) E VARIABL	Yes (40%) No (60%) ES	Communicat ion (11%), Standards of personal competence (18%), Fatigue (8%), Other (11%), No (66%)	Error in judgement (29%), Failure to respond appropriately (12%), Incorrect operations of control (11%), Inappropriate choice of route (5%), Forgetting to report information (2%), Failure to advise officer on the watch (2%), Deciding not to pass on information (4%), Failure to report due to distraction (2%), Other Errors (24%). No (46%)	Necessary (6%), Routine (12%), Other (9%), No (75%)	Problem with cargo (10%), Technical failure (33%), Structural failure (8%), Adverse weather (29%), Navigational tool problems (6%), No (40%)
1	63	Machinery failure (98%, 100%)			No (7%, 91%)	Ship rendered unfit to proceed (9%, 44%)				No (8%, 91%)	No (10%, 79%)	No (7%, 89%)	lechnical failure (13%, 78%), Structural failure (21%, 30%)
2	58	Collision (9%, 64%), Grounding (11%, 29%)	Cargo (8%, 89%)	Inland waters (60%, 100%)	No (7%, 93%)	Ship rendered unfit to proceed (7%, 40%)	Yes (19%, 71%)	No (6%, 93%)	No (7%, 80%)				
3	69	Fire (15%, 38%)	Passenger (62%, 100%)	Coastal waters (9%, 36%)	No (8%, 94%)	Ship rendered unfit to proceed (11%, 52%)	CATEG	ORY OF EA	CH VARIA	BLE THAT C	HARACTERIZES THE No (8%, 61%)	MOST TH	IE CLUSTER
4	80	Grounding (50%, 100%)	Cargo (9%, 75%)	Coastal waters (13%, 45%)	No (10%, 98%)	Ship rendered unfit to proceed (10%, 39%)				Other (14%, 21%)	Inappropriate choice of route (25%, 19%)		Adverse weather (12%, 51%)
5	127	Fire (63%, 87%)	Fishing (24%, 25%)	Open sea (21%, 50%)	No (13%, 76%)	Total loss of the ship (18%, 39%)	No (12%, 91%)	Yes (17%, 30%)	No (13%, 72%)	No (15%, 87%)	No (18%, 75%)	No (13%, 91%)	Technical failure (21%, 63%), Problem with cargo (21%, 19%)
6	41	Collision (7%, 68%)	Special Craft (43%, 100%)	Coastal waters (6%, 44%)	No (5%, 90%)	Ship rendered unfit to proceed (6%, 46%)	No (4%, 93%)		No (5%, 80%)				No (6%, 61%)
7	60	Damage to ship (100%, 100%)				Ship remains fit to proceed (8%, 77%)					No (8%, 73%)	No (6%, 90%)	Technical failure (10%, 67%)
8	45	Collision (11%, 98%)	Fishing (27%, 80%)	Open sea (8%, 53%)	Yes (8%, 62%)	Total loss of the ship (11%, 67%)	No (5%, 96%)	No (5%, 96%)		No (6%, 93%)			No (8%, 78%)
9	165	Collision (40%, 95%)	Cargo (24%, 96%)	Port (18%, 49%)	No (18%, 85%)	Ship remains fit to proceed (17%, 58%)	Yes (19%, 25%)	No (17%, 96%)	No (18%, 75%)	No (17%, 78%)	Failure to respond appropriately (27%, 23%), Error in judgement (19%, 39%), Deciding not to pass on information (26%, 7%)		Adverse weather (20%, 40%)
10	76	Work accident (12%, 37%)	Tanker (50%, 100%)			Ship remains fit to proceed (9%, 70%)	Yes (12%, 33%)	No (7%, 90%)		Communicat ion (12%, 21%)			No (12%, 74%)
11	57	Grounding (15%, 40%)		Inland waters (10%, 18%)	No (7%, 91%)				Yes (9%, 70%)		Inappropriate choice of route (25%, 26%), Error in judgement (9%, 51%), Incorrect operations of control (11%, 25%), Failure to respond appropriately (9%, 23%)	Necessary (11%, 14%)	Navigational tool problems (87%, 97%)
12	14	Flooding (100%, 100%)	Fishing (4%, 43%)	Coastal waters (3%, 64%)		Total loss of the ship (5%, 86%)			Yes (2%, 72%)	Standards of personal competence (3%, 43%)			
13	57	Capsizing (98%, 100%)	Special Craft (18%, 30%)	Coastal waters (9%, 44%)	Yes (9%, 56%)	Total loss of the ship (19%, 90%)	No (6%, 97%)	Yes (9%, 33%)		Standards of personal competence (8%, 28%)			Problem with cargo (20%, 40%)
14	76	Work accident (33%, 99%)	Cargo (11%, 92%)	Open sea (10%, 41%)	Yes (19%, 93%)	Ship remains fit to proceed (13%, 93%)		No (8%, 100%)	No (8%, 72%)	Other (13%, 21%)			No (13%, 75%)
15	58	Work accident (9%, 34%)		Coastal waters (9%, 43%)					Yes (9%, 72%)	Communicat ion (12%, 28%), Fatigue (67%,	Failure to report due to distraction (33%, 14%), Other (10%, 47%), Error in judgement (8%, 48%), Deciding not to pass on information	Necessary (14%, 17%)	

"Marlog 11"

RESULTS – DANGEROUS GOODS DATASET



3 CLUSTERS

Cluster 1 (54): the main cause is a **fire or mechanical failure or damage to the ship**, often (65% of cases) caused by technical problems. HF is not a major factor

Cluster 2 (49): the cause is a **collision or grounding** that occurs frequently in inland waters and with the pilot on board.

Cluster 3 (50): the causes are accidents at work mostly caused by **human errors or violations** (inadequate supervision, communication problems and/or inadequate training of the workers).

CONCLUSIONS

 A notable distinction emerged between the accidents due to technical causes and those where the human factor plays a predominant role. The latter, in the sense of error and violation, occurs most frequently when there is a lack in company protocols, standards and policies, or a problem with on-board equipment.

- The accidents involving dangerous goods do not show any noticeable peculiarity compared to the overall dataset. The only distinguishing feature is a higher percentage of fire and explosion cases
- Results confirm the importance of human factor-oriented measures that are being applied in maritime transport and contribute to giving shipping practitioners a focus for maritime safety interventions which can potentially enhance maritime safety.

The International Maritime Transport and Logistics Conference **"Marlog 11"**



Thank YOU

Patrizia Serra pserra@unica.it



Towards a **BLUE** SUSTAINABLE **BLUE** ECONOMY

20 - 22 March, 2022 Hilton Green Plaza Hotel